

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST VALUE DIVIDITION	ATTORNEY DOCKETNO	CONFIDMATIONALO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,257	03/09/2005	Jun Wu	186353/US	5292
25763	7590 11/06/2006	EXAMINER		
DORSEY &	WHITNEY LLP	BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE		
INTELLECT	UAL PROPERTY DEPA			
<b>SUITE 1500</b>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 SOUTH S	IXTH STREET	1643	i)	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAILED 11/0/2004	

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	-		
Office Action Summary		10/527	,257	WU ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
		Lynn B	ristol	1643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the pattern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. tory period will apply an- ill, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) MG application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			·		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or	b) ☐ objected to	b by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s	s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	<del></del>	•		in received in this Nationa	1 Otage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•	·			
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTG	O-948)		o(s)/Mail Date			
	) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/527,257 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-12 are all the pending claims for this application and that are subject to restriction.

- 2. Claim 5 is drawn to a nucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 1 having a full length of 720 nucleic acids. The revised Sequence Listing of August 9, 2006, lists SEQ ID NO: 1 as being a polynucleotide of 48 nucleic acids in length, whereas the Sequence Listing of March 13, 2006 listed SEQ ID NO: 1 as a 720 nucleic acid sequence. Thus it appears that the polynucleotides of instant claim 3 are not drawn to SEQ ID NO:1 of the revised Sequence Listing but to the original Sequence Listing. Accordingly, claim 3 has been withdrawn from restriction.
- 3. A sequence search of SEQ ID NO:2 and amino acid residues 29-213 of SEQ ID NO:2 was performed in commercial protein databases and a post-filing date reference, Strausberg et al. (PNAS 99:16899-16903 (December 2002)), was found to disclose a 213 amino acid residue protein having 99.5% identity with SEQ ID NO:2, and a fragment having 100% sequence identity with amino acid residues 29-213 of SEQ ID NO:2. See the attached copies of the protein sequence search alignments. Because the Examiner was not able to discern which polynucleotides Applicants are claiming, a nucleotide search of RL5 was not performed.
- 4. On the basis of the sequence search results for the <u>protein sequence only</u>, the claims appear to recite a common special technical feature which is the protein of SEQ ID NO:2.

Art Unit: 1643

5. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Group I, claim(s) 1 and 2, drawn to a human RL5 protein of SEQ ID NO:2 or amino acid residues 29-213 of SEQ ID NO:2.

Group II, claim(s) 3, 4 and 6-8, drawn to a polynucleotide encoding the human RL5 protein of SEQ ID NO:2 or amino acid residues 29-213 of SEQ ID NO:2, vectors, vector engineered host cells, and methods of producing the protein from the vector engineered host cell.

Group III, claim(s) 9, 11 and 12, drawn to an antibody that specifically binds the human RL5 protein of SEQ ID NO:2 or amino acid residues 29-213 of SEQ ID NO:2, and pharmaceutical compositions thereof.

Group IV, claim(s) 11 and 12, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising an antisense nucleotide sequence for RL5 gene.

Group V, claim(s) 10, drawn to a method of detecting a RL5 protein in a sample using an antibody against RL5 and observing an immunecomplex.

The inventions are distinct and separate for the following reasons:

6. Four different products are presented in Groups I-IV. These products do not share a common core structure, nor common property or activity. A nucleic acid structure of Group II or IV is comprised of linear, contiguous nucleotides while a protein's structure of Groups I and III is comprised of linear, contiguous amino acids that fold into a specific three-dimensional structure; the nucleic acid's function is to encode a protein, the antisense nucleotide is to hybridize to a specific gene or transcript, while a protein's function is variable. Additionally, the nucleic acids, antisense nucleotides and polypeptides are not obvious variants of each other based on the distinct structures and functions of each as noted above. Lastly, the nucleic acids and polypeptides have materially different functions as noted above.

Application/Control Number: 10/527,257

Art Unit: 1643

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Groups I and III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. For example, claims in Group I, drawn to polypeptides, must be searched not only in commercial amino acid sequence databases, but also in textual databases because isolated polypeptides are often disclosed without the benefit of sequence information although the amino acid sequence is inherently the same as the sequence claimed. Additionally, the nucleic acid sequences must be searched in distinct nucleic acid sequence commercial databases. Thus, Groups II and IV and Groups I and III have been appropriately restricted on the basis of being distinct.

Page 4

With respect to the proteins of Groups I and III the RL5 polypeptide has a function as a tumor-specific rejection antigen (p. 12, line 18 of the specification) while the antibody binds to the polypeptide with a specific affinity and avidity. Each of the proteins would have different amino acid sequences and folded structures. Thus, Groups I and III have been appropriately restricted on the basis of being distinct. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. and foreign patent literature and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues.

7. Inventions of Group III and Groups V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially

Application/Control Number: 10/527,257

Art Unit: 1643

different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the antibody could be used to purify the protein by immunoaffinity chromatography or it could be used as a therapeutic alone or conjugated to other therapeutic agents for specific cell targeting. The examination of all groups would require different searches in the U.S. and foreign patent literature and the scientific literature and would require the consideration of different patentability issues.

Page 5

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different searches in the patent literature, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1643

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynn Bristol whose telephone number is 571-272-6883. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:00, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LAB

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER